

Case 2:05-cv-00439-WHA-CSC Document 124 Filed 01/20/2006 Page 1 of 6
IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF ALABAMA
RECEIVED
NORTHERN DIVISION

2006 JAN 20 A 9:45

Richard Wayne Wright, Sr., *
Plaintiff, Pro-Se., * Civil Action No.
- VS - * 2:05-cv-439-A-WO
Sylvester Nettles, et.al., *
Defendants. *

Plaintiff Wright Motion In Objection TO
The Magistrate Judge Recommendation
Denying Plaintiff Motion In Part For THE
Production of Documents

I Richard Wayne Wright, Sr., Comes Now before this Honorable Court, Plaintiff, Pro-se., in objection to the Honorable Charles S. Coody (Chief United States Magistrate Judge) recommendation passed down on the 5th day of January, 2006, denying plaintiff Motion For production of documents Filed by plaintiff on December 30, 2005 (Court Doc. NO 102).

Plaintiff shall show as best he can that all the documents that requested are directly related to plaintiff making the Facts in (his) Complaint Manifested.

1). The Detention Notifications Forms in (B), (C) and (F) will show deliberate indifference on part of the defendants mention in (his) initial Complaint and amend portion

2). Section (A), (D) and (E) are a part of his mental health file and shows defendant's recommendation, psychotropic medications suggested, and the examiners finding and opinions ^{R.W.W} of plaintiff mental state of mind, etc.

3). Section (G) thru (L) will show the classification specialist making [their] own assessment of plaintiff state of mind before and after plaintiff mental evaluations.

4) Section (M) thru (P) shows plaintiff attempts for protection custody (P.C.) and Mr. Blackledge suggestion and / or recommendations.

5). Section (Q) was a direct contact with one (A) of the psychiatrist assign to him and made (his) assessment at that time of meeting with plaintiff Wright.

6) Section (R) (S) and (T) are a part of the plaintiff medical file and will show defendant's lack of medical care treatment and also their total neglect of such needed treatment.

Plaintiff Wright Objection To Magistrate Judge
Denying His Motion For Production Of Documents

7). Section (u) and its subsection will show what defendant actually said under oath and where in their claim often times they know nothing of the matters plaintiff Wright claims as the defendant's stated in their affidavits.

8). Section (v) Segregation roster will show how defendant's have falsified documents (medical) after plaintiff submitted his amended Complaint to cover themselves against the claims made against them. It shall be that much more difficult to show this Honorable Court these actual instances and documents, but not impossible, yet without such documents to substantiate plaintiff claims makes (his) claims no more than allege allegation and thus far damages his ability to properly litigate.

9). Section (w)(x) and (y) and their subsection will show how the defendants deliberately swayed from the Department of Corrections (D.O.C.) Administrative Regulation (A.R.) specifically to cause plaintiff harm

(Which they did) or to subject plaintiff to potential harm (which they did) intentionally and knowingly.

10). Section (Z) and its subsection are a part of plaintiff mental health file and will show as stated in plaintiff said motion in this section

11). Section (AA) will show plaintiff assessments (by the health care providers) of (his) health at that time noted such health examination/evaluation was perform

12). Section (BB), (CC) and (DD) and their subsection will show statements made by the accused party and their accuser's, hearing officer's, witnesses, ect., and how plaintiff claims substantiate with the testimonies, statements and adjudicator assessments, that they indeed and in truth was treated unfairly, the adjudicator was partial and prejudice against plaintiff, and used no determining principles in assessing the Factual Finding [out side] of the arresting officer statement alone, and "its" Faction where exercised for internal purposes 'only' to cause plaintiff harm.

Plaintiff Wright objection To Magistrate Judge
Denying His Motion For Production Of Documents

Conclusion

Plaintiff made known to this Honorable Court in his motion for production of documents (Court Doc. No 102) closing, his reason for requesting such documentation and additionally plea to this Honorable Court to reconsider its ^{R.W.W} ~~order~~ order which denied plaintiff (said) motion in part. Plaintiff knows as he recalls in defendant's response through "their" Counselor(s) that [they] will produce more documents (Fabricated) to defeat plaintiff's claims without the assistance of an Attorney who would have gotten all documents needed to substantiate plaintiff's claims, so that if the defendant's [then] fabricated documents after the attorney inspection and discovery of plaintiff's prison file the argument held by plaintiff would [then] have more weight than it would and does now due to the defendant's witty designs.

IF this motion is not in its

proper Form plaintiff ask that this
Honorable Court Construe it into
its proper Form For processing

Done this the 17th day of
January, 2006.

Respectfully Submitted,
Rich W. Wright, Jr.

Richard Wayne Wright, Sr. #187140
Ventress Correctional Facility
Infirmary Room # 103
Post Office Box 767
Clayton, Alabama 36016

Certificate of Service

The Certificate of Service shall
be the same as that on the motion
"Plaintiff Wright Motion TO Objection TO
THE Magistrate Judge Recommendation
Denying Plaintiff Motion For Filing
Criminal Charges"

which is enclosed within the same
envelope and mailed together. (see
said motion

Respectfully Submitted
Rich W. Wright, Jr.

Richard Wayne Wright, Sr. #187140
Plaintiff, Pro-Se.